

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.

Do What?
A sermon by David Roquemore
First Presbyterian Church, Newton NC
February 12, 2023

Matthew 5: 21-48

So how do we obey the law? Jesus has some things to say about this. Note that he first says, “unless your righteousness is greater than the Pharisees, you will never enter the kingdom of heaven.”

I think he said that tongue-in-cheek.

You see, he sets an impossible standard here. No one could obey the law the way he presents it.

This takes us all over the place. My seminary professor once asked me if I thought the laws in Leviticus were inspired by God. He said, they were pretty good ideas for the ancient people. Public health and all that — but inspired by God? He was doubtful; your mileage may vary.

So the usual way we handle the law is to divide it into parts. There is the ceremonial law, for the ancient Jews, that concerned their temple. Then there is the dietary laws, about how to prepare food and all of that. Then there is the moral law. Don't kill. Don't get angry. The trouble is that these are all jumbled into Leviticus in no particular order. Once verse will tell you about cooking, and the next will be a moral command. So there is no easy and convenient way to divide them up.

And that leads to confusion. I have mentioned a group of people I knew as a college student which had decided not to eat ham anymore, because it was in the Bible. This shows a strange view of the scriptures. They are written for us to see what God is up to, and how we are to obey. But when we give all of the verses the same inspiration, then we don't know what we are supposed to do.

As someone said, “all of scripture is equally inspired, but not all of it is equally inspiring.” That's funny, but its true in a way. We all have a “canon within the canon,” a list of books we love, and another list of books we have never read. Actually, I think we need to admit that not all the books are equally inspired!

What! Well, wait a minute. I don't want anyone walking pout of here saying, “that preacher doesn't believe in the Bible.” I do, but let me explain. The ancient Jews had the books of Moses, the Torah or the Law, and then they had the prophets, and then the writings. So there were these concentric circles of inspiration. The Torah was fully-inspired by God. The prophets were a larger circle, also inspired but different. And the writings were a mixture of miscellaneous stuff. And for the Jews, there is another circle,

beyond the bounds of scripture, the Talmud. So inspiration depends on the context, perhaps?

For us, we have replaced the traditions of the Church with an almost slavish commitment to the inspiration of scripture. We don't have a pope but we have the Bible, you see. And whatever the Bible says, goes.

The Bible says, "happy the man who bashes the children's heads against the rock." That is Psalm 137:9. Is that inspired? Is that a moral imperative? Are we supposed to go into the hospital and grab the babies out of young mother's arms, and smash them against rocks? I don't think so. Well, wait, you see, this doesn't mean *that*. So what does it mean?

Many people and perhaps some of us are committed to a high doctrine of inspiration, and we get busy explaining away difficult or confusing passages all the time. So we don't really have a high view of scripture, in practice.

Having said all of that, let's look at what Jesus does with the law in Matthew. Jesus had a high view of the Torah, but he takes the commands of the law, and changes them. He gets at the root desires of our hearts, and says, there is what is wrong with you. Change that! Don't worry about the commands of the law.

There's a pattern here to watch for: Jesus starts off saying, "you have heard it said...." Then he says, "But I say....." So, you have heard it said don't kill, but I say, don't even be angry. If you don't get angry, then you can't kill anyone. You see what he is doing? He moves the marker from the action to the motive: if you don't angry, if you don't lust after someone, if you don't swear by anything, if you don't seek revenge.

What Jesus does is elevate the behavior to a degree that makes obedience very hard. You can probably get through life without killing anyone, but to get through life without being angry? That's a whole different story!

Let me say a word about divorce and remarriage. Jesus has some pretty strict things to say about it. I was taken to task once for reading the gospel lesson, and then not mentioning it at all when I preached on something else. Jesus lived in a time when women had no security except marriage, and a man could divorce a woman at any time for no reason at all. He is actually going against the grain of life in those days, by requiring that you don't divorce a wife unless she is unfaithful.

In our day, things have changed. People get divorced sometimes for a variety of reasons, and sometimes this passage makes them wonder if they are in trouble with God. Marriage is a promise we make, a holy promise to be sure, but it is not a sacrament. You sometimes find you have made a promise but for one reason or another, you break that promise. It is the same here: we break promises. We fail to live up to our hopes, and when that happens, sometimes, it is just best to start over.

Let's look at the last bit of this chapter. Jesus says "do not resist an evildoer. But if anyone strikes you on the right cheek, turn the other also." Now, in the ancient world there was a prescribed way that a person might slap another. You take your right hand and slap the other person's cheek back-handed. It was difficult to do that on the other cheek, going by the established pattern or rule of slapping. And so Jesus is at once saying, "let them hit you, but in such a way that they can't really do it."

Or take the next bit, "if anyone wants to sue you and take your coat, give your cloak as well." In ancient courts, if you give someone your cloak, the law requires that they give it back by nightfall. You might need it for a blanket you see. So you give them your cloak, which puts them in an awkward position, because they have to give it back.

Or again, Jesus says, "if anyone forces you to go one mile, go also the second mile." We take this "extra mile" as going beyond the boundaries of duty, in an unselfish way. Go the extra mile, we say. But that isn't really what Jesus was saying. He was talking about Roman soldiers, who could conscript you to carry their pack for a mile. At the end of the mile, you were released from duty. But if you cheerfully kept going, well, then what? Then the soldier could be punished for making you go farther than the law allowed.

All of these three examples show a sort of passive resistance to Rome. By cheerfully doing more than the law allows, you put the soldier in a vulnerable position. Jesus knew what he was doing. Jesus is suggesting that you can obey the law in such a way that you are actually breaking it, resisting Rome, all while maintaining your innocence.

We in our time have something called Work to Rule, in which a person does no more than the minimum required in their employment. Jesus isn't advocating that; he says, no, cheerfully do more than you are asked to do, but in such a way that they will be begging you to stop.

Jesus wants us to see that doing the minimum the law demands is not enough. Nor is obeying every jot and tittle of the law. Jesus suggests going back to the root cause of these sins. Anger. Lust. Gluttony. Power. All the things that lead us to sin against another: if we get rid of those, we can avoid the sins like murder and adultery. If we get rid of those — as though it were easy! These are things that take time, as we learn and grow in our faith. They also take grace! God gives us the ability to obey by his grace. Otherwise we would be lost in our sins.

Matthew has compiled this list of Jesus' teachings and put it here in a sermon format. We don't if Jesus said all of these things at the same time in this order or not. He may have, or he may not have. We can't know. What we see is that grace is not included so much as it is implied.

I have mentioned before the guy I met in NJ, when I was in seminary. He taught at the same school Susan did. He had sold all his belongings, and moved his family into a motel, where they waited on the Spirit to tell them what to do next. I don't know what ever happened to them, but it seemed at the time that their enthusiasm had gotten ahead of them.

I do know this: the Spirit will speak to you and let you know about obedience. The Spirit, though, doesn't speak with an audible, human voice. The Spirit sometimes speaks through the voices of other people, who often don't even know the Spirit is using them. Or at other times, the Spirit just impresses upon you something; you know you need to do a thing, and you respond.

So, no, you don't have to be perfect, but you do have to obey. Jesus shows us that sometimes our obedience can be a subtle form of resistance, and we understand that. Other times, he gets at our intentions: what is going on in your mind and heart?

That's the real question, and only you can answer that!

Thanks be to God! Amen.